

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Structures of electromagnetic type on vector bundles

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1999 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 3805 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/32/20/312)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.105 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 07:32

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Structures of electromagnetic type on vector bundles

E Reyes[†], V Cruceanu[‡] and P M Gadea§

† Department of Mathematics, ETS of Architecture, University of Valladolid, Av. de Salamanca s/n, 47014, Valladolid, Spain

‡ Department of Mathematics, University 'Al. I. Cuza', 6600, Iaşi, Romania

§ Institute of Mathematics and Fundamental Physics, CSIC, Serrano 123, 28006, Madrid, Spain

E-mail: ereyes@cpd.uva.es, cruv@uaic.ro and pmgadea@iec.csic.es

Received 3 December 1998, in final form 17 March 1999

Abstract. Structures of electromagnetic type on a vector bundle are introduced and studied. The metric case is also defined and studied. The sets of compatible connections are determined and a canonical connection is defined.

1. Introduction

Structures of electromagnetic type (em-structures) and structures of metric electromagnetic type (mem-structures) on a manifold were progressively introduced in [7,9,11] (see also [6]) and studied in detail in [5,7,8,13,14]. In the present paper we define similar structures for the case of a vector bundle $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$, and relate them to product, complex, para-Hermitian, Hermitian, para-Kähler or indefinite Kähler, structures. (In the following, by a pseudo-Riemannian metric we shall understand a metric of any signature, and by an indefinite (metric) structure a structure including a pseudo-Riemannian metric.) Then, we determine the set of connections on ξ compatible with those structures and we introduce a canonical connection. Considering an almost-para-Hermitian (respectively, indefinite Hermitian) structure on the base manifold M and an indefinite Hermitian (respectively, para-Hermitian) structure of the bundle ξ , we prove that the corresponding diagonal lift of these structures, with respect to a connection on ξ , are mem-structures on the total space E. Finally, some properties of those mem-structures are established.

We recall the physical origin of the topic [9, 11]. Let M^4 be a spacetime of general relativity, with gravitational tensor g of signature -+++. Let F be the electromagnetic field of type (0, 2), which is skewsymmetric, that is a 2-form. Setting F(X, Y) = g(JX, Y), the tensor field J thus defined is the electromagnetic tensor field of type (1, 1) associated to F. We have g(JX, Y) + g(X, JY) = 0. The characteristic equation of J is det $(J - \lambda I) = 0$, which is satisfied by J, and we have

$$J^4 + 2kJ^2 + lI = 0$$
 $k = -\frac{1}{4}$ trace J^2 $l = \det J$.

If $x \in M^4$, it is said that J_x is of first, second or third class at x if, respectively,

$$l_x \neq 0$$
 $l_x = 0$ $k_x \neq 0$ $l_x = 0$ $k_x = 0.$

0305-4470/99/203805+10\$19.50 © 1999 IOP Publishing Ltd

3805

It is said that J is of first, second or third class if it is of such a class at every x. The characteristic polynomial of the second class is $J^2(J^2 + 2k)$, but the minimal polynomial is $J(J^2 + 2k)$, so that the condition $J(J^2 + 2k) = 0$ characterizes the second class. The field of an electromagnetic plane wave is of third class. The field of a moving electron is of second class. More complicated fields belong to the first class. The equation one obtains from the minimal polynomial in the first class is

$$(J^2 - f^2)(J^2 + h^2) = 0 (1.1)$$

with f, h nowhere-vanishing C^{∞} functions on M^4 . Such a tensor field J on a general manifold M determines a G-structure on M.

To handle the nonconstant local cross section situation corresponding to (1.1), one can use the relationships among *G*-structures, related sections of an associated bundle and functions of a certain kind on *M*, as follows: let $(\mathcal{P}, \pi_P, M, H)$ be a principal bundle with group *H*, $H \times W \to W$ a left action of *H* on a manifold *W*, and $(E = \mathcal{P} \times_H W, \pi_E, M, W)$ the associated bundle. A *J*-subset *S* of *W* with corresponding group *G*, a subgroup of *H*, is defined by the conditions: (a) $S \subset$ fixpoint set of *G*, (b) $h \in H$, $h(S) \cap S \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow h \in G$. For instance, points are *J*-subsets with *G* the corresponding isotropy group. A cross section *K* of π_E is a *J*-section if it can be locally represented as the 'product' of a cross section σ of π_P and a *S*-valued function \widetilde{K} , so that

$$K_x = \sigma_x \cdot \widetilde{K}_x$$
 = equivalence class of $(\sigma_x, \widetilde{K}_x)$ in E.

Then \widetilde{K} is globally defined, and the σ generate a principal subbundle of \mathcal{P} . K is a constant *J*-section if and only if \widetilde{K} is constant. Different sections can generate the same subbundle, and in fact, every principal subbundle can be generated by a constant *J*-section.

Now, let \mathcal{P} be the principal bundle of frames over M, so that $H = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, and let W be a real vector space. If $J \in W$ is given with the conditions stated above, a J-section generates a J-structure with group G, which is a G-structure. The tensor K has in principle variable components in adapted frames. This is a slight generalization with respect to the usually considered G-structures, given by tensors with constant components, which here correspond to constant J-sections. Since every J-structure is generated by some constant J-section, this generalization is useless for the study of the J-structure itself; but if the emphasis shifts to the study of variable J-sections, the results are significant, especially with respect to the parallelizability of the tensors.

In the particular case of a (1, 1) tensor field *J* satisfying $(J^2 - f^2)(J^2 + h^2) = 0$, with characteristic polynomial $(x - p)^{r_1}(x - p)^{r_2}(x^2 + q^2)^s$, $r_1, r_2, s \ge 1$, $r_1 + r_2 + 2s = n = \dim M$, the *J*-subset consists of matrices of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} pI_{r_1} & & \\ & -pI_{r_2} & \\ & & -qI_s \\ & & qI_s \end{array}\right)$$

and the structural group is $G = GL(r_1, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(r_2, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(s, \mathbb{C})$. It is proved [7] that the *G*-structure defined by *J* above is also defined by a tensor field, say again *J*, satisfying $(J^2 - 1)(J^2 + 1) = 0$, that is, the relation $J^4 = 1$ considered in the present paper.

Notice that the *G*-structure is exactly the same, not an associated or equivalent one. In the four-dimensional case the group reduces to $G = GL(1, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(1, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(1, \mathbb{C})$. It is also proved [7] that there exists an adapted Riemannian metric so that the group can be reduced to $G = O(r_1) \times O(r_2) \times U(s)$, and in the four-dimensional case to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times U(1)$, that is, essentially to the unitary group U(1).

2. Structures of electromagnetic type on a vector bundle

Let $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$ be a C^{∞} vector bundle with total space *E* and projection map π over a connected paracompact base manifold *M*. The rank of *E* is the (common) dimension of the fibres. Let $C^{\infty}(M)$ denote the ring of real functions, $\mathcal{T}_q^p(M)$ the $C^{\infty}(M)$ -module of (p, q)-tensor fields, and $\mathcal{T}(M)$ the $C^{\infty}(M)$ -tensor algebra of *M*. We, respectively, denote by $\mathcal{T}_q^p(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\xi)$ the $C^{\infty}(M)$ -module of tensor fields of type (p, q) and the $C^{\infty}(M)$ -tensor algebra of the bundle ξ .

We recall that an almost-product (respectively, almost-complex) structure on a manifold M is defined by a tensor field J of type (1, 1) satisfying $J^2 = I$ (respectively, $J^2 = -I$). An almost-para-Hermitian (respectively, indefinite almost-Hermitian) structure on M is defined by a pair (J, g), given by an almost-product (respectively, almost-complex) structure J and a pseudo-Riemannian metric compatible with J in the sense that g(JX, Y) + g(X, JY) = 0, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$; that is, as an anti-isometry (respectively, isometry). A para-Kähler (respectively, indefinite Kähler) manifold is a manifold M endowed with an almost-para-Hermitian (respectively, indefinite almost-Hermitian) structure such that the Levi-Civita connection of g parallelizes J.

Definition 2.1. A structure of electromagnetic type on $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$ is an *M*-endomorphism *J* of ξ satisfying

 $J^4 = I$

with characteristic polynomial $(x - 1)^{r_1}(x + 1)^{r_2}(x^2 + 1)^s$, where r_1, r_2 , s are constants greater than or equal to 1 such that $r_1 + r_2 + 2s = \operatorname{rank} E$.

Setting $P = J^2$, we have $P^2 = I$, so P is a product structure on ξ , admitting J as a 'square root'. Conversely, if P is a product structure admitting a 'square root' J, then J is an em-structure on ξ . Denoting by ξ_1 and ξ_2 , respectively, the +1 and -1 eigen-subbundles of P, it is easy to see that ξ_1 and ξ_2 are invariant by J and that $J_1 = J|_{\xi_1}$ defines a product structure of ξ_1 and $J_2 = J|_{\xi_2}$ a complex structure of ξ_2 . So, one has

$$\xi = \xi_1 \oplus \xi_2 \qquad J = J_1 \oplus J_2. \tag{2.1}$$

Conversely, if ξ_1 and ξ_2 are two supplementary subbundles of ξ , J_1 is a product structure of ξ_1 , and J_2 a complex structure of ξ_2 , then $J = J_1 \oplus J_2$ is an em-structure on ξ . Denoting by P_1 and P_2 the projections of ξ on ξ_1 and ξ_2 , respectively, we obtain

$$P=P_1-P_2 \qquad J=J_1\circ P_1+J_2\circ P_2.$$

Summing up we have

Proposition 2.1. An em-structure on the vector bundle $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$ can be defined by each one of the following conditions:

- (a) An M-endomorphism J of ξ satisfying $J^4 = I$.
- (b) A product structure P of ξ admitting a 'square root' J.
- (c) Two supplementary subbundles ξ_1 and ξ_2 of ξ , respectively, endowed with a product structure and a complex structure.

Remark 2.1. A product structure P which admits a 'square root' is a particular one because rank ξ_2 must be even.

3808 E Reyes et al

Definition 2.2. A structure of metric electromagnetic type (mem-structure) on the vector bundle ξ is a pair (J, g), where J is an em-structure and g a pseudo-Riemannian metric on ξ satisfying the compability condition

$$g(JX, Y) + g(X, JY) = 0$$
 $X, Y \in \xi.$ (2.2)

Denoting by δ_J the derivation defined by J in the tensor algebra $\mathcal{T}(\xi)$, the relation (2.2) can be written as

$$\delta_J g = 0$$

from which it follows that $g(PX, PY) = g(X, Y), X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Therefore, the pair (P, g) is a pseudo-Riemannian product structure of ξ and so the subbundles ξ_1 and ξ_2 are mutually orthogonal with respect to g. Denoting, respectively, by g_1 and g_2 the restrictions of g to ξ_1 and ξ_2 , from (2.2) we obtain

$$\delta_{J_1} g_1 = 0 \qquad \delta_{J_2} g_2 = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

which may be written as

 $g_1(J_1X, J_1X) = -g_1(X, Y) \qquad g_2(J_2X, J_2Y) = g_2(X, Y) \qquad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi).$ (2.4)

Hence (J_1, g_1) is a para-Hermitian structure of ξ_1 and (J_2, g_2) is an indefinite Hermitian structure of ξ_2 . Conversely, if ξ_1 and ξ_2 are two supplementary subbundles of ξ such that ξ_1 is endowed with a para-Hermitian structure (J_1, g_1) and ξ_2 with an indefinite Hermitian structure (J_2, g_2) , then considering J as given by (2.1) and setting

$$g = g_1 \oplus g_2$$

one obtains a mem-structure on ξ . So we have

Proposition 2.2. A mem-structure (J, g) on ξ is equivalent to a pair of supplementary subbundles ξ_1 and ξ_2 , respectively, endowed with a para-Hermitian structure (J_1, g_1) and an indefinite Hermitian structure (J_2, g_2) .

Remark 2.2. If (J, g) is a mem-structure on ξ , then we have: rank ξ_1 and rank ξ_2 are even; trace J_1 = trace $J_2 = 0$; sign $g_1 = 0$.

Setting for a mem-structure (J, g) on ξ :

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y) \qquad \Omega_i(X, Y) = g_i(J_iX, Y) \qquad i = 1, 2$$

it follows that Ω , Ω_1 and Ω_2 are 2-forms, which determine almost-symplectic structures of ξ , ξ_1 and ξ_2 , so that

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \oplus \Omega_2.$$

These 2-forms satisfy

$$\delta_J \Omega = 0 \qquad \delta_{J_1} \Omega_1 = 0 \qquad \delta_{J_2} \Omega_2 = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Remark 2.3. The meaning of conditions (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5) is the following: the groups of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{X}(\xi_1)$, $\mathfrak{X}(\xi_2)$ and $\mathfrak{X}(\xi)$ given by

$$\alpha_t = I_1 \cosh t + J_1 \sinh t$$
 $\beta_t = I_2 \cos t + J_2 \sin t$ $\gamma_t = \alpha_t \oplus \beta_t$

 $t \in \mathbb{R}$, determine actions on the tensor algebras $\mathcal{T}(\xi_1)$, $\mathcal{T}(\xi_2)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\xi)$, which, respectively, preserve the structures (J_1, g_1, Ω_1) , (J_2, g_2, Ω_2) and (J, g, Ω) .

3. Compatible connections

3.1. The general case

Definition 3.1. A connection D on the vector bundle ξ is said to be compatible with an emstructure J if

$$DJ = 0. (3.1)$$

From this it follows that DP = 0, hence D preserves the subbundles ξ_1 and ξ_2 , i.e. for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), Y_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_1), Y_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_2)$, one has $D_X Y_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_1), D_X Y_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_2)$. Setting then

$$D_X^1 Y_1 = D_X Y_1 \qquad D_X^2 Y_2 = D_X Y_2$$

$$X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \qquad Y_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_1) \qquad Y_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_2)$$

we have that D^1 and D^2 are, respectively, connections on ξ_1 and ξ_2 , so that

$$D_X = D_X^1 \circ P_1 + D_X^2 \circ P_2 \qquad D_X^1 J_1 = 0 \qquad D_X^2 J_2 = 0 \qquad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$
(3.2)

Conversely, if D^1 and D^2 are, respectively, connections on ξ_1 and ξ_2 , then D given as in (3.2) is a connection on ξ satisfying DP = 0. If D_1 and D_2 satisfy the respective conditions in (3.2), then D satisfies (3.1) too. Thus, it follows

Proposition 3.1. A connection D on ξ is compatible with the em-structure J if and only if there exist two connections D^1 on ξ_1 and D^2 on ξ_2 , respectively, compatible with the product structure J_1 and the complex structure J_2 , so that

$$D = D^{1} \circ P_{1} + D^{2} \circ P_{2}. \tag{3.3}$$

Consider now on the subbundles ξ_i of ξ , the operators Φ_{J_i} and Ψ_{J_i} given by

$$(\Phi_{J_i}D^i)_X = \frac{1}{2}(D^i_X + J^{-1}_i \circ D^i_X \circ J_i) \qquad (\Psi_{J_i}\mathcal{A}^i)_X = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A}^i_X + J^{-1}_i \circ \mathcal{A}^i_X \circ J_i)$$
(3.4)

where $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, D^i is a connection on ξ_i , and $\mathcal{A}^i \in \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{X}(\xi_i) \otimes \Lambda^1(\xi_i)$ (now and in the following we take i = 1, 2). From [1, 13] and proposition 3.1 we obtain

Proposition 3.2. The set of connections on ξ compatible with the em-structure J is given by

$$D_X = \{ (\Phi_{J_1} D^{\circ 1})_X + (\Psi_{J_1} \mathcal{A}^1)_X \} \circ P_1 + \{ (\Phi_{J_2} D^{\circ 2})_X + (\Psi_{J_2} \mathcal{A}^2)_X \} \circ P_2$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $D^{\circ i}$ is an arbitrary fixed connection on ξ_i , \mathcal{A}^i denotes any element of $\Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{X}(\xi_i) \otimes \Lambda^1(\xi_i)$, and Φ_{J_i} , Ψ_{J_i} are given by (3.4).

Definition 3.2. A connection D on ξ is said to be compatible with the mem-structure (J, g) if

$$DJ = 0$$
 $Dg = 0.$

From which it follows: DP = 0; $D = D^1 \circ P_1 + D^2 \circ P_2$, where D^i are the restrictions of D to ξ_1 and ξ_2 ; $D^i J_i = 0$; and $D^i g_i = 0$. Conversely, if D^1 and D^2 are connections on ξ_1 and ξ_2 , compatible with the para-Hermitian structure (J_1, g_1) and the indefinite Hermitian structure (J_2, g_2) , respectively, then the connection D given by (3.3) is compatible with the mem-structure (J, g) on ξ . So, we have

Proposition 3.3. A connection D on ξ is compatible with the mem-structure (J, g) on ξ , if and only if there are two connections D^1 and D^2 on the subbundles ξ_1 and ξ_2 , respectively, compatible with the para-Hermitian structure (J_1, g_1) and the indefinite Hermitian structure (J_2, g_2) , so that D is given by (3.3).

Then setting

$$(\Phi_{g_i}D^i)_X = \frac{1}{2}(D_X^i + g_i^{-1} \circ D_X^i \circ g_i) \qquad (\Psi_{g_i}\mathcal{A}^i)_X = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A}_X^i + g_i^{-1} \circ \mathcal{A}_X^i \circ g_i)$$
(3.5)
we obtain from [1], proposition 3.3 and (2.4)

Proposition 3.4. The set of connections on ξ compatible with the mem-structure (J, g) is given by

$$D_X = \left\{ ((\Phi_{g_1} \circ \Phi_{J_1}) D^{\circ 1})_X + ((\Psi_{g_1} \circ \Psi_{J_1}) \mathcal{A}^1)_X \right\} \circ P_1 \\ + \left\{ ((\Phi_{g_2} \circ \Phi_{J_2}) D^{\circ 2})_X + ((\Psi_{g_2} \circ \Psi_{J_2}) \mathcal{A}^2)_X \right\} \circ P_2$$

where $D^{\circ i}$ is an arbitrary fixed connection on ξ_i , $\mathcal{A}^i \in \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{X}(\xi_i) \otimes \Lambda^1(\xi_i)$, and Φ_{J_i} , $\Phi_{g_i}, \Psi_{J_i}, \Psi_{g_i}$ are given by (3.4) and (3.5).

3.2. The case of the tangent bundle

We now consider the case of ξ being the tangent bundle of the manifold M, i.e. $\xi = (TM, \pi, M)$. In this case, for a mem-structure (J, g) on M, the pair (P, g) is a pseudo-Riemannian almost-product structure on M, and (J_1, g_1) , (J_2, g_2) , are, respectively, a para-Hermitian [4] and an indefinite Hermitian structure [10] on ξ_1 and ξ_2 . If ∇ is a linear connection on M, compatible with P, i.e. $\nabla P = 0$, then its restrictions ∇^1 and ∇^2 to ξ_1 and ξ_2 are connections on these subbundles. If T is the torsion tensor of ∇ , we shall call the *torsion tensor* of ∇^i to the tensor fields T^i given by $T^i = P_i \circ T|_{\xi_i}$, or in more detail

$$T^{i}(X_{i}, Y_{i}) = \nabla_{X_{i}}Y_{i} - \nabla_{Y_{i}}X_{i} - P_{i}[X_{i}, Y_{i}] \qquad X_{i}, Y_{i} \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_{i})$$

We call *tensors of nonholonomy* of the distributions ξ_1 and ξ_2 to the tensor fields $S^1 = P_2 \circ T|_{\xi_1}$ and $S^2 = P_1 \circ T|_{\xi_2}$, respectively. We obtain

$$S^{1}(X_{1}, Y_{1}) = -P_{2}[X_{1}, Y_{1}]$$
 $S^{2}(X_{2}, Y_{2}) = -P_{1}[X_{2}, Y_{2}].$

It follows

Proposition 3.5. The distribution ξ_1 (respectively, ξ_2) is involutive if and only if $S^1 = 0$ (respectively, $S^2 = 0$).

After some computations we obtain from [3, 10, 14].

Proposition 3.6. For a mem-structure (J, g) on a manifold M, there exists a unique linear connection ∇ with torsion tensor T, satisfying the conditions

$$\nabla P = 0 \qquad T(PX, Y) = T(X, PY) \tag{3.6}$$

$$\nabla_{X_i}^i J_i = 0 \qquad \nabla_{X_i}^i g_i = 0 \qquad T^i (J_i X, I_i Y) = T^i (I_i X, J_i Y). \tag{3.7}$$

Definition 3.3. We shall call the canonical connection associated with the mem-structure (J, g) on the manifold M to the connection given by the conditions (3.6) and (3.7).

Remark 3.1. Notice that this connection differs slightly from that given in theorem 5.3 in [14]. For the canonical connection we obtain from (3.6):

$$\nabla^1_{X_2} Y_1 = P_1[X_2, Y_1]$$
 $\nabla^2_{X_1} Y_2 = P_2[X_1, Y_2].$

Denoting by ξ_1^1 , ξ_1^2 the eigen-subbundles of J_1 corresponding to $\varepsilon = +1$, $\varepsilon = -1$, by π_1^1 , π_1^2 the projection maps of ξ_1 on ξ_1^1 , and ξ_1^2 and by X_1^i , Y_1^i any elements of $\mathfrak{X}(\xi_1^i)$, we obtain from the first equation in (3.7),

$$\begin{split} \nabla^{1}_{X_{1}^{1}}Y_{1}^{1} &= \pi^{1}_{1}P_{1}[X_{1}^{2},Y_{1}^{1}] \qquad \nabla^{1}_{X_{1}^{1}}Y_{1}^{2} &= \pi^{2}_{1}P_{1}[X_{1}^{1},Y_{1}^{2}] \\ g_{1}(\nabla^{1}_{X_{1}^{1}}Y_{1}^{1},Z_{1}^{2}) &= X^{1}_{1}g_{1}(Y_{1}^{1},Z_{1}^{2}) - g_{1}([X_{1}^{1},Z_{1}^{2}],Y_{1}^{1}) \\ g_{1}(\nabla^{1}_{X_{2}^{2}}Y_{1}^{2},Z_{1}^{1}) &= X^{2}_{1}g_{1}(Y_{1}^{2},Z_{1}^{1}) - g_{1}([X_{1}^{2},Z_{1}^{1}],Y_{1}^{2}). \end{split}$$

For J and g we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X_1}J)Y_1 &= 0 & (\nabla_{X_2}J)Y_2 &= 0 & (\nabla_{X_1}J)Y_2 &= (\nabla_{X_1}^2J_2)Y_2 \\ (\nabla_{X_2}J)Y_1 &= (\nabla_{X_1}^1J_1)Y_1 & (\nabla_{X_1}g)(Y_1, Z_1) &= 0 & (\nabla_{X_2}g)(Y_2, Z_2) &= 0 \\ (\nabla_{X_2}g)(Y_1, Z_1) &= (L_{X_2}g)(Y_1, Z_1) & (\nabla_{X_1}g)(Y_2, Z_2) &= (L_{X_1}g)(Y_2, Z_2) \end{aligned}$$

where L stands for the Lie derivative.

4. Structures of electromagnetic type on the total space of a vector bundle

Let $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$ be a vector bundle and (x^j) , (y^a) , (x^j, y^a) , local coordinates in adapted charts on M, ξ and E, respectively. We denote by (∂_j) , (e_a) , (∂_j, ∂_a) the corresponding local bases, where $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x^j$, $\partial_a = \partial/\partial y^a$, j = 1, 2, ..., m, a, b, c = 1, 2, ..., n (see [2]). Setting for each $z = (x, y) \in E$, $V_z E = \text{Ker } \pi_{*z}$, we obtain the *vertical distribution* and thus the *vertical subbundle* of TE, denoted by VE. Let $C^{\infty v} = \{f^v = f \circ \pi : f \in C^{\infty}(M)\}$ be the subring of $C^{\infty}(E)$ naturally isomorphic to $C^{\infty}(M)$. Setting for each $\mu \in \Lambda^1(\xi)$, locally given by $\mu(x) = \mu_a(z) e^a$,

$$\gamma(\mu)(z) = \mu_a(x) y^a$$

we obtain a class of functions on *E* enjoying the property that every vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$ is uniquely determined by its values on those functions. The mapping γ may be extended to tensor fields $S \in \mathcal{T}_1^1(\xi)$ by

$$(\gamma S)(\gamma(\mu)) = \gamma(\mu \circ S) \qquad \mu \in \Lambda^{1}(\xi)$$

If $S(x) = S_b^a(x) e_a \otimes e^b$, then $\gamma S(z) = S_b^a(x) y^b \partial_a$, i.e. γS is a vertical vector field on *E*. Now, let *D* be a connection on ξ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $u \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi)$. Setting

$$X^{h}(\gamma \mu) = \gamma(D_{X}\mu) \qquad u^{v}(\gamma \mu) = \mu(u) \circ \pi \qquad \mu \in \Lambda^{1}(\xi)$$

we obtain two vector fields X^h and u^v on E, respectively, called the *horizontal lift* of X and the *vertical lift* of u. We have the useful formulae [2]

$$(fX)^{h} = f^{v}X^{h} \qquad (fu)^{v} = f^{v}u^{v} [X^{h}, Y^{h}] = [X, Y]^{h} - \gamma R_{XY}^{D} \qquad [u^{v}, w^{v}] = 0[X^{h}, u^{v}] = (D_{X}u)^{v} f \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M) \qquad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \qquad u, w \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi).$$

Now, putting

$$Q(X^h) = X^h$$
 $Q(u^v) = -X^v$ $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ $u \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi)$

we obtain an almost-product Q structure on E whose +1 and -1 eigendistributions, are, respectively, called the *horizontal distribution* HE of the connection D and the *vertical distribution* VE of the bundle.

For $f \in \mathcal{T}_1^1(M)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_1^1(\xi)$, $g \in \mathcal{T}_2(M)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{T}_2(\xi)$, we define the *horizontal lift* or the *vertical lift* f^h , φ^v , g^h , ψ^v , respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} f^{h}(X^{h}) &= f(X)^{h} \qquad f^{h}(u^{v}) = 0 \\ \varphi^{v}(X^{h}) &= 0 \qquad \varphi^{v}(u^{v}) = \varphi(u)^{v} \\ g^{h}(X^{h}, Y^{h}) &= g(X, Y)^{v} \qquad g^{h}(X^{h}, u^{v}) = g^{h}(u^{v}, X^{h}) = g^{h}(u^{v}, w^{v}) = 0 \\ \psi^{v}(X^{h}, Y^{h}) &= \psi^{v}(X^{h}, u^{v}) = \psi^{v}(u^{v}, Y^{h}) = 0 \qquad \psi^{v}(u^{v}, w^{v}) = \psi(u, w)^{v} \\ X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \qquad u, w \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.1)$$

We then define the *diagonal lifts J* and G for the pairs (f, φ) and (g, ψ) by

$$J = f^h + \varphi^v \qquad G = g^h + \psi^v. \tag{4.2}$$

From (4.1) and (4.2) we have

$$J^n(X^h) = (f^n(X))^h \qquad J^n(u^v) = (\varphi^n(u))^v \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

So $J^4 = I$, that is J is an em-structure on E, if and only if $f^4 = I_1$ and $\varphi^4 = I_2$, that is, either f and φ are both em-structures or one is an em-structure and the other is an almost-product or almost-complex structure, or finally f is an almost-product (respectively, almost-complex) and φ is a complex (respectively, product) structure on M and ξ , respectively. In the following we only consider the last case.

Hence, let J be an em-structure on the total space E of ξ given by the diagonal lift in the first equation in (4.2) of an almost-product (respectively, almost-complex) structure f on the base manifold M and a complex (respectively, product) structure φ on the bundle ξ , that is, which satisfy

$$f^2 = \varepsilon I_1$$
 $\varphi^2 = -\varepsilon I_2$ $\varepsilon = 1$ (respectively, $\varepsilon = -1$)

with respect to a connection D on ξ . For the almost-product structure P associated to J, we obtain $P = \varepsilon Q$, that is, P coincides up to the sign with the almost-product structure Q above associated to D.

Now, let G be the diagonal lift in the second equation in (4.2), with respect to D, for the pair (g, ψ) of metrics on M and ξ . From (4.2) we obtain

$$\delta_J G = (\delta_f g)^h + (\delta_\varphi \psi)^i$$

and so $\delta_J G = 0$ if and only if $\delta_f g = 0$ and $\delta_{\varphi} \psi = 0$. It follows

Proposition 4.1. The pair (J, G) of diagonal lifts, with respect to a connection D on ξ , of an almost-product (respectively, almost-complex) structure f on M and a complex (respectively, product) structure φ of ξ , and the nondegenerate metrics g on M and ψ on ξ , is a memstructure on the total space E of ξ if and only if the pair (f, g) is an almost-para-Hermitian (respectively, indefinite almost-Hermitian) structure on M. The pair (φ, ψ) is an indefinite Hermitian (respectively, para-Hermitian) structure on ξ .

Denoting by ω and τ the 2-forms associated to the structures (f, g) on M and (φ, ψ) on ξ , and by $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega$, the 2-forms associated to the structures (f^h, g^h) on HE, (φ^v, ψ^v) on VE and (J, G) on TE, we obtain

$$\Omega_1 = \omega^h \qquad \Omega_2 = \tau^v \qquad \Omega = \omega^h \oplus \tau^v.$$

From the hypotheses of proposition 4.1 it follows

$$\delta_f g = 0$$
 $\delta_f \omega = 0$ $\delta_\omega \psi = 0$ $\delta_\omega \tau = 0$ $\delta_J G = 0$ $\delta_J \Omega = 0.$

Remark 4.1. The groups of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$, $\mathfrak{X}(\xi)$, $\mathfrak{X}(E)$, given, respectively, for $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\varepsilon = -1$, by

$\alpha_t = I_1 \cosh t + f \sinh t$	$\beta_t = I_2 \cos t + \varphi \sin t$	$\gamma_t = \alpha_t^h \oplus \beta_t^h$	$t \in \mathbb{R}$
$\alpha_t = I_1 \cos t + f \sin t$	$\beta_t = I_2 \cosh t + \varphi \sinh t$	$\gamma_t = \alpha^h_t \oplus \beta^h_t$	$t \in \mathbb{R}$

determine on the tensor algebras $\mathcal{T}(M)$, $\mathcal{T}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{T}(E)$, actions which preserve the structures (f, g, ω) , (φ, ψ, τ) and (J, G, Ω) .

For two connections ∇ on M and D on ξ , we define the *horizontal lift* ∇^h on the subbundle HE and the *vertical lift* D^v on the subbundle VE (each one with respect to the connection D), respectively, by

$$abla_{X^h}^h Y^h = (
abla_X Y)^h \qquad
abla_{u^v}^h Y^h = 0 \qquad
abla_{X^h}^v w^v = (D_X w)^v \qquad
abla_{u^v}^v w^v = 0$$

Putting them as

$$\mathcal{D}_A X = \nabla^h_A H X + D^v_A V X \qquad A, X \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$$

where *H* and *V* denote the horizontal and vertical projectors of *TE* on *HE* and *VE*, we obtain a linear connection \mathcal{D} on *E*, called the *diagonal lift* of the pair (∇, D) with respect to the connection *D* (see [2]), whose restrictions to the subbundles $\xi_1 = HE$ and $\xi_2 = VE$ are $\mathcal{D}_1 = \nabla^h$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 = D^v$. The nonvanishing components of the torsion and curvature tensors of \mathcal{D} are given by

$$\mathcal{T}(X^{h}, Y^{h}) = T^{\nabla}(X, Y)^{h} + \gamma R_{XY}^{D}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{X^{h}Y^{h}}Z^{h} = (R_{XY}^{\nabla}Z)^{h} \qquad \mathcal{R}_{X^{h}Y^{h}}u^{\nu} = (R_{XY}^{D}u)^{\nu}$$
(4.3)

where T^{∇} , R^{∇} and R^{D} stand for the torsion tensor of ∇ and the curvature tensors of ∇ and D.

For the covariant derivatives, with respect to \mathcal{D} , of the horizontal lift of f and g, and the vertical lift of φ and ψ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{X^h} f^h &= (\nabla_X f)^h \qquad \mathcal{D}_{u^v} f^h = 0 \qquad \mathcal{D}_{X^h} g^h = (\nabla_X g)^h \qquad \mathcal{D}_{u^v} g^h = 0 \\ \mathcal{D}_{X^h} \varphi^v &= (D_X \varphi)^v \qquad \mathcal{D}_{u^v} \varphi^v = 0 \qquad \mathcal{D}_{X^h} \psi^v = (D_X \psi)^v \qquad \mathcal{D}_{u^v} \psi^v = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, for the diagonal lifts J and G of the pairs (f, φ) and (g, ψ) , it follows

$$\mathcal{D}_{X^{h}}J = (\nabla_{X}f)^{h} + (D_{X}\varphi)^{v} \qquad \mathcal{D}_{u^{v}}J = 0$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{X^{h}}G = (\nabla_{X}g)^{h} + (D_{X}\psi)^{v} \qquad \mathcal{D}_{u^{v}}G = 0.$$
(4.4)

Hence, $\mathcal{D}J = 0$ if and only if $\nabla f = 0$, $D\varphi = 0$; and $\mathcal{D}G = 0$ if and only if $\nabla g = 0$, $D\psi = 0$. From (4.3) and (4.4) it follows, for $P = J^2$, that $\mathcal{D}P = 0$ and $\mathcal{T} \circ P \times I = \mathcal{T} \circ I \times P$ for any connections ∇ on M and D on ξ . After that we have

$$\nabla_{X^h}^h g^h = (\nabla_X g)^h \qquad D_{u^v}^v \varphi^v = 0 \qquad D_{u^v}^v \psi^v = 0$$
$$\nabla_{X^h}^h f^h = (\nabla_X f)^h \qquad \mathcal{T}^1 (f^h X, I_1 Y) = (T^\nabla (f X, I_1 Y))^h \qquad \mathcal{T}^2 (\varphi^v X, I_2 Y) = 0$$
where $\mathcal{T}^1 = H \circ \mathcal{T}|_{HE}$ and $\mathcal{T}^2 = V \circ \mathcal{T}|_{VE}$. So we obtain

where $\Gamma = \Pi \circ \Gamma |_{\Pi E}$ and $\Gamma = V \circ \Gamma |_{V E}$. So we obtain

Proposition 4.2. The diagonal lift D on E, for the connections ∇ on M and D on ξ , is the canonical connection associated to the mem-structure (J, G) if and only if

$$\nabla f = 0$$
 $\nabla g = 0$ $T^{\vee}(fX, Y) = T^{\vee}(X, fY)$

i.e. the connection ∇ is the canonical connection [2, 10] associated to the almost-para-Hermitian (respectively, indefinite almost-Hermitian) structure (f, g) on M.

Also from (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain $\mathcal{D}G = 0$ and $\mathcal{T} = 0$ if and only if $\nabla g = 0$, $T^{\nabla} = 0$, $R^D = 0$ and $D\psi = 0$. Hence we have

Proposition 4.3. The diagonal lift D of the pair of connections (∇, D) coincides with the Levi-Civita connection of G if and only if ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g, D has vanishing curvature and ψ is covariant constant.

3814 E Reyes et al

For the Nijenhuis tensor of J,

$$N_{J}(A, B) = [JA, JB] + J^{2}[A, B] - J[JA, B] - J[A, JB] \qquad A, B \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$$

we obtain
$$N_{J}(X^{h}, Y^{h}) = N_{f}(X, Y)^{h} + \gamma \left(\varepsilon R_{XY}^{D} - R_{fXfY}^{D} + \varphi \circ (R_{fXY}^{D} + R_{XfY}^{D})\right)$$

$$N_{J}(X^{h}, u^{v}) = \left(D_{fX}\varphi u - \varepsilon D_{X}u - \varphi \circ (D_{fX}u + D_{X}\varphi u)\right)^{v} \qquad N_{J}(u^{v}, w^{v}) = 0.$$

It follows
$$(4.5)$$

Proposition 4.4. The mem-structure J is integrable (i.e. $N_J = 0$, see [8]) if and only if f is a product (respectively, a complex) structure in M, the connection D has vanishing curvature and the complex (respectively, product) structure φ on ξ is covariant constant.

For the exterior differential of the 2-form Ω associated to the mem-structure (J, G) we obtain

$$d\Omega(X^h, Y^h, Z^h) = d\omega(X, Y, Z)^v \qquad 3d\Omega(X^h, Y^h, w^v) = -\gamma(i_w \tau \circ R^D_{XY})$$

$$3d\Omega(X^h, u^v, w^v) = D_X \tau(u, w)^v \qquad d\Omega(u^v, v^v, w^v) = 0.$$

Hence

Proposition 4.5. The almost-symplectic structure Ω associated to the mem-structure (J, G) on E is integrable (i.e. $d\Omega = 0$) if and only if the structure (f, g) is almost-para-Kähler (respectively, indefinite almost Kähler), the connection D has vanishing curvature, and the 2-form τ on ξ is covariant constant.

Finally, we obtain

Proposition 4.6. For the mem-structure (J, G) on E, the structures J and Ω are simultaneously integrable if and only if the structure (f, g) is a para-Kähler (respectively, indefinite Kähler) structure on M, D has vanishing curvature and the pair (φ, ψ) is covariant constant.

References

- Cruceanu V 1974 Connexions compatibles avec certaines structures sur un fibré vectoriel banachique Czech. Math. J. 24 126–42
- [2] Cruceanu V 1996 A new definition for certain lifts on a vector bundle Ann. Ştiinţ. University 'Al. I. Cuza' Iaşi 42 59–73
- [3] Cruceanu V and Etayo F 1999 On almost para-Hermitian manifolds Algebras Groups Geom. to appear
- [4] Cruceanu V, Fortuny P and Gadea P M 1996 A survey on paracomplex geometry *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 26 83–115
- [5] Etayo F and Reyes E 1992 Normality and structure transfer in $(J^4 = 1)$ -manifolds *Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci.* University Cagliari **62** 1–7
- [6] Hernando J M and Gadea P M 1980 Sobre ciertas estructuras polinómicas Act. VII J. Hisp.-Lusit., S. Feliu de Guixols 1 173–6
- [7] Hernando J M, Gadea P M and Montesinos Amilibia A 1985 G-structures defined by a tensor field of electromagnetic type *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* 34 202–18
- [8] Hernando J M, Reyes E and Gadea P M 1985 Integrability of tensor structures of electromagnetic type *Publ.* Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 37 113–22
- [9] Hlavatý V 1958 Geometry of Einstein's Unified Field Theory (Groningen: Noordhoff)
- [10] Kobayashi S and Nomizu K 1963 and 1969 Foundations of Differential Geometry (New York: Interscience)
- [11] Mishra R S 1976 Structures in electromagnetic tensor fields Tensor (N.S.) 30 145-56
- [12] Miron R and Anastasiei M 1998 Vector Bundles and Lagrange Spaces with Applications in Relativity (Balkan Soc. Geom. Monographs and Textbooks vol 1)
- [13] Reyes E, Montesinos Amilibia A and Gadea P M 1982 Connections making parallel a metric (J⁴ = 1)-structure Ann. Ştiinţ. University 'Al. I. Cuza' Iaşi 28 49–54
- [14] Reyes E, Montesinos Amilibia A and Gadea P M 1987 Connections partially adapted to a metric $(J^4 = 1)$ -structure *Collog. Math.* **54** 216–29